

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

State of Alaska, Workers' Comp.

Benefits Guaranty Fund

Appellant(s),

v.

Virgil Adams; Michael Heath

d/b/a O & H Enterprises

Appellee(s).

Supreme Court No. S-17918

Trial Court Case #

NOTICE/CERTIFICATE REQUIRED BY APPELLATE RULE 221

Part 1. Trial Court Settlement History.

1. Did the parties attempt settlement at the trial court level?
☐ yes (answer questions 2-5)
☒ no (skip to Part 2)
2. What form(s) did the settlement discussions take? (Check all that apply)
☐ informal discussions
☐ negotiations led by private neutral (e.g., mediator)
name of private neutral: _____
☐ settlement conference with judge
name of judge: _____
☐ other
describe: _____
3. Who was involved in the settlement discussions? (Check all that apply)
☐ counsel for all parties
☐ all clients
☐ other
describe: _____
4. How long did the settlement discussions take?

5. What was the outcome of the settlement discussions at the trial court level?

- ☐ parties reached agreement on one or more issues or claims
- ☐ case settled as to some parties, but not all parties
- ☐ issues were narrowed
- ☐ no issues or claims were narrowed or resolved

Part 2. Pro Se Party Involvement.

- ☐ Indicate here if no appellate settlement discussion took place because one or more parties are unrepresented by counsel and therefore the provisions of Appellate Rule 221 do not apply. If the box in this section is checked, the appellant should sign below:

Signature

Date

If the box in this section is **not** checked, the attorneys must complete Part 3.

Part 3. Certificate of Appellate Settlement Discussion.

By signing below, each attorney certifies that the attorneys have discussed settlement after the filing of the appeal as required by Appellate Rule 221, and that the attorney's client(s) had knowledge of the settlement discussion.

12/9/20


Date



Signature

12/9/20

Date



Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

FILE THIS ORIGINAL PLUS ONE COPY WITH THE COURT.